A mass balance assessment of the contribution of floating-leaved macrophytes in nutrient stocks in an eutrophic macrophyte-dominated lake
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Abstract

In this study we examine the contribution of three floating-leaved macrophyte species, Nymphaea alba, Trapa natans and Nymphoides peltata (covering about 700–750 ha), in the N and P stocks in a shallow eutrophic lake (4000–6300 ha) during a 3-year period (1995–1997). The monthly nutrient standing stocks in the above-ground structures of the plants were derived from biomass, nutrient content and area covered by each plant species during the growth period. These N and P quantities were compared to the net nutrient inputs of the lake waters from the catchment area recorded in the winter (water discharging phase), preceding the vegetation period. N and P contents reached high values for the three species (2.16–3.23% N and 0.29–0.68% P) showing the ability of the plants to accumulate large nutrient contents. Despite significant annual fluctuations in nutrient contents and biomass, not always in the same way for each floating-leaved macrophyte, and changes in the area they covered, the maximum monthly P stocks in the plants were globally uniform between the 3 years (7.10–8.85 tonnes). Maximum values for N ranged from 40.85 to 54.55 tonnes in summer. The vast beds of N. alba (until 75% of the total area in 1997), contributed largely to these annual nutrient stocks (50–72% and 46–70%, respectively, for N and P). Whereas the nutrient stocks estimated in the plants during the biomass peak represented little proportions of the annual net flux of N in the lake waters (3.35–5.00%), they reached high proportions for P sometimes exceeding the annual net loading of P in the lake according to years (16.80–156.25%). These results suggest that the nutrient-enriched sediments of the lake (6–53 and 2–6 times the net annual P and N inputs) probably compensate for annual variations in the external nutrient loading, notably for P, and floating-leaved macrophytes are likely not limited when the inputs from the catchment area are small.
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1. Introduction

In many aquatic ecosystems a large proportion of metabolism occurs in the littoral zone, with macrophyte beds and their associated epiphytes as major primary producers (Pieczynska, 1993; Kairesalo and Matilainen, 1994; Gessner, 2000). The high productivity of macrophytes (Smart, 1980; Twilley et al., 1985; Kunii and Aramaki, 1992) contributes to high rates of nutrient mobilisation mainly from the sediment directly via root systems (e.g. Best and Mantai, 1978; Howard-Williams and Allanson, 1981; Barko and James, 1997) but also from the overlying water by foliage (Madsen and Cedergreen, 2002). In small shallow lakes dominated by macrophytes (e.g. Lake Zwemlust in The Netherlands), deficiency of some nutrients may even occur (Ozimek et al., 1993). Moreover macrophytes are important in nutrient related processes not only as nutrient uptake by roots and/or shoots, but also by translocation, release by healthy plants and from decaying plants and exchange of elements within the macrophyte/periphyton complex (Pieczynska, 1993; Killingbeck, 1996).

Thus, in the frame of management measures to improve the water quality of aquatic ecosystems, macrophytes are often taken into consideration. Some restoration programs focus not only on a reduction of external nutrient loadings, but on additional measures concerning macrophytes: biomanipulation to reinstate submerged macrophytes and clearwater conditions (van Liere and Janse, 1992; van Donk and Gulati, 1995; Jeppesen et al., 1997), or plant harvesting to remove nutrients (Cooke et al., 1993; Asaeda et al., 2000).

Some models have been developed to assess the role played by submerged macrophytes in the nutrient cycle of both coastal marine (Oshima et al. (1999); Sfriso and Marcomini (1999) on seagrass and freshwater systems (Asaeda et al. (2000) on Potamogeton pectinatus). However, compared to submerged macrophytes, there is little detailed information on the estimation of nutrient stocks used by floating-leaved macrophytes in aquatic systems (Twilley et al., 1985 on Nuphar lutea, Brock et al., 1983b on Nymphoides peltata) which often represent the dominant vegetation in shallow water, particularly Nymphaeid species, with emergent macrophytes (Brock et al., 1983a; van der Velde and van der Heijden, 1985; Wallsten and Forsgren, 1989).

The present study was designed to evaluate, by a mass balance procedure, the contribution of the floating-leaved macrophyte species on the nutrient loading of a shallow, turbid, eutrophic and macrophyte-dominated lake. The N and P stocks used by the above-ground organs of the plants in the ecosystem were determined monthly over a 3-year period (1995–1997) from nutrient contents, biomass and the area covered by the plants. The comparison between these stocks and the external nutrient loadings on the lake waters (Marion and Brient, 1998, 2000) is discussed in the context of the water quality restoration program.

2. Study area and methods

2.1. Study area

Lake Grand-Lieu is a large natural floodplain system located in Western France (47°05′N, 1°39′W). The lake covers 4000 ha during summer and 6300 ha during winter, by flooding adjacent peaty marsh grasslands. Half of the summer area is composed of a peat fen with Phrag-
mites, Salix and Alnus, which becomes progressively exposed in early summer (for more details see Marion et al., 1994) and thus isolated from the water area. Most of the permanently flooded area of the lake is covered from April to October by extensive beds of floating-leaved macrophytes (about 1000 ha of Nymphaea alba, N. lutea, Trapa natans and N. peltata).

Water level fluctuations of the lake follow the seasonal cycle of rainfall in the catchment. High inflows occur from November to May, when the daily discharges from the two rivers entering the lake are highest (from 336 to 401 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$). The water depth then reaches up to 2.2 m in the floating macrophyte area. A drainage channel flows from the lake to the Loire estuary 25 km away and a sluice gate regulates the water level of the lake, notably during spring and summer to keep sufficient water levels. Water inputs from the watershed (670 km$^2$) are insignificant from June to September (from 2 to 42 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) when the sluice gate is closed. During these months, rainfall on the lake does not counterbalance evapotranspiration and pumping, and the water level of the lake generally falls by 0.40 m (Marion and Brient, 1998). The summer depth is reduced then to 0.70 m in the floating macrophyte area.

2.2. Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) content in floating-leaved macrophytes

In the present study, we focus on the above-ground parts of floating-leaved macrophytes, although the permanent below-ground organs can constitute a large fraction of the total biomass in Nymphaeid species (Brock et al., 1987; Malthus et al., 1990; Tsuchiya et al., 1990). Our aim is to compare the role of nutrient stocking by the annual above-ground parts of the plants to the net input nutrient stocks from the catchment area. So we did not take into account the complex exchanges of elements between the plant, the sediment and the water column (Frodge et al., 1990; Kok et al., 1990; Aerts, 1997).

Samples of the above-ground parts of N. alba, T. natans and N. peltata were harvested using the standing crop method (Brock et al., 1987), once a month from April to October according to species (see result section) on a sampling area of 1 m$^2$. N. lutea was investigated only in 1997 and no data was presented here in order to compare the contribution of the same species to nutrient stocks in the lake waters for the 3-year period. From 3 to 6 stations were defined each year in monospecific stands of each macrophyte species, according to a gradient from the shore to the centre of the lake. The above-ground organs were dried at 80°C for 6 days and weighed. The N and P contents were determined from monthly samples of plant material crushed to a powder. The number of samples analysed each year varied from 17 to 42 for N and from 24 to 34 for P, totalling 455 nutrient contents during the 3 years. The phosphorus content (%P) was determined using the method of Murphy and Riley (1962) and a spectrophotometer ($\lambda = 720$ nm), and the nitrogen content (%N) was measured with an automatic chemical analysis system (Carlo-Erba 1500). Patterns of biomass and nutrient contents in the plants were analysed for the 3 years by one-way ANOVA—year factor—with differences located by a Tukey HSD test (SYSTAT software, SPSS Inc., 1998, version 8.0). Mean values presented were defined with a 95% confidence interval.

2.3. Evaluation of the N and P standing stock in the floating-leaved macrophytes

We determined the monthly stock of N and P from biomass and nutrient contents in the above-ground organs of each macrophyte species (expressed in grams of nutrient per
square metre). Then the quantities of N and P used by the three species at the lake scale were derived from monthly nutrient stocks and area covered by macrophytes. Field observations indicated that the area covered by each species was constant during the growing season and we used data from aerial photography realised annually in August. The areas were measured with a Geographic Information System (Idrisi software, Eastman, 1995, version 1.0), using the method of Nohara (1991).

2.4. Evaluation of the N and P input and output stocks of the lake

The method used for evaluating N and P stocks on the lake was described by Marion and Brient (1998). Daily inflows and outflows of water were automatically measured in the two rivers entering the lake and in the drainage channel at the outlet of the lake. Concentrations of N and P in the three rivers were analysed from samples of water collected by automatic refrigerated sampling pumps every 2 h (cumulated per day). Annual inputs and outputs of N and P were determined from nutrient concentrations and water discharges (Marion and Brient, 2000). Finally, the net input during each annual inflow period (October–May) was obtained from nutrient inputs and outputs. We compared these net inputs of nutrients in the lake waters with the monthly standing stocks of nutrients in the beds of floating-leaved macrophytes during the following growth season (April–October).

3. Results

3.1. Total nutrient contents and biomass in the floating-leaved macrophytes

The results of the series of one-way ANOVA showed that the N and P contents varied between years for the three floating-leaved species, except for *N. peltata* with no data of P content in 1995 and 1996 (Table 1). Both N and P contents were significantly higher in 1997 in all plants and also in 1996 for N in *N. peltata*. For N, values were either different or similar in 1996 and 1997 according to species but no difference was found for P. Nutrient contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>1997</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. alba</em></td>
<td>2.16a (0.07)</td>
<td>2.34b (0.08)</td>
<td>2.32b (0.07)</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. natans</em></td>
<td>2.41a (0.29)</td>
<td>2.17a (0.14)</td>
<td>3.10b (0.28)</td>
<td>25.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. peltata</em></td>
<td>3.20a (0.10)</td>
<td>2.73b (0.32)</td>
<td>3.23a (0.28)</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. alba</em></td>
<td>0.317a (0.030)</td>
<td>0.296a (0.016)</td>
<td>0.417b (0.019)</td>
<td>35.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. natans</em></td>
<td>0.330a (0.030)</td>
<td>0.347a (0.018)</td>
<td>0.580b (0.076)</td>
<td>27.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. peltata</em></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.684 (0.052)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each mean annual nutrient content, values with a common letter are not significantly different at \( P = 0.05 \) from pairwise comparisons in Tukey HSD tests. P was measured only in 1997 in *N. peltata*. 
tend to be higher at the start of the growing season for *T. natans* and to lesser extent in the two *Nymphaeaid* species whereas they were relatively stable during the following months (Fig. 1).

At the same time, one-way ANOVA tested on the biomass of the three macrophyte species, also showed a year effect but not in the same way for each species (Table 2). The biomass

Table 2
One-way ANOVA of mean annual biomass (with 95% confidence interval in brackets) in the floating-leaved macrophytes over the 3 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>1997</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>N. alba</em></td>
<td>283.4° (35.6)</td>
<td>172.8° (38.9)</td>
<td>167.5° (33.4)</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. natans</em></td>
<td>211.1° (41.5)</td>
<td>453.7° (93.3)</td>
<td>96.5° (59.5)</td>
<td>32.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. peltata</em></td>
<td>109.7° (14.8)</td>
<td>156.5° (34.6)</td>
<td>160.9° (38.4)</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each mean annual biomass, values with a common letter are not significantly different at *P* = 0.05 from pairwise comparisons in Tukey HSD tests.
of *N. alba* was the highest in 1995 whereas similar biomass were recorded in 1996 and 1997. Conversely the biomass was low in 1995 for *T. natans* and for *N. peltata* and the highest in 1996, especially for *T. natans* with a substantial biomass peak (738.40 ± 80.25 g DW m$^{-2}$, Fig. 2). The beds of *T. natans* early disappeared in 1997 leading to a very low annual biomass.

Some delays in the phenology of *N. alba* were recorded according to years, from a peak in June in 1995 (412.20 ± 129.60 g DW m$^{-2}$) to a peak in August in 1997 (284.40 ± 129.60 g DW m$^{-2}$, Fig. 2). The phenology of *N. peltata* was globally similar over the 3 years.
Table 3
Area covered by the floating-leaved macrophytes (expressed in ha)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. alba</td>
<td>472.0</td>
<td>523.5</td>
<td>554.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. natans</td>
<td>205.5</td>
<td>184.5</td>
<td>163.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. peltata</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ a \] This year T. natans disappeared before seed production (see Paillisson and Marion, 2001a) and the area covered by the plant was deducted from data of surrounding years (Paillisson and Marion, 2001b).

\[ b \] No area was determined for N. peltata.

3.2. Estimation of the monthly nutrient standing stock in the plants

Some changes in the area covered by the floating-leaved macrophytes occurred during the 3-year period (Table 3). The size of the beds of N. alba increased from 472 to 554 ha, whereas in the same time the area of T. natans decreased. The area covered by N. peltata
was not determined in 1995, but reached low values in 1996 and 1997. The dominance of *N. alba* thus increased to about 75% of the total area covered by the three species in 1997.

As shown in Fig. 3, the contribution of *N. alba* in the quantity of nutrient used in the beds of floating-leaved macrophytes of the lake was large (65.45 ± 9.45% and 51.50 ± 8.25% of monthly data with the three species, respectively, for N and P). When standing stocks of the three species were grouped, maximum values occurred in August, except in 1995 due to a high biomass of *N. alba* in September (Fig. 2). The maximum standing stock of N was low in 1997 (40.85 tonnes) compared to 1995 (54.55 tonnes) and 1996 (50.95 tonnes) mainly in relation to the depletion of the biomass of *T. natans*. The maximum standing stock of P in the plants was globally uniform over the 3 years (7.10–8.85 tonnes) despite of annual fluctuations of P contents, biomass and area covered by the plants.

The importance of the plants in the nutrient stock of the lake waters was obtained by comparing the maximum standing stocks of N and P in the plants, to the net annual input of nutrients into the lake. The net N input into the lake varied from 553 tonnes in 1996 to 1628 tonnes in 1995, with an intermediate value in 1997 (853 tonnes), corresponding to a mean retention rate of 55.75% (Fig. 4). The maximum N monthly standing stock in the plants only represented from 3.35% of this net input in 1995 to 5.00% in 1996. The net P
input into the lake fluctuated much more than for N during the 3 years (10 times compared to less than 3 times for N), from about 51 tonnes in 1995 to 5 tonnes in 1996 and 15 tonnes in 1997, corresponding to a mean retention rate of 28.50%. The quantity of P used by the floating-leaved macrophytes was high. The maximum monthly standing stock reached 16.80% of the net P input into the lake in 1995, 58.45% in 1997 but exceeded the net P input into the lake in 1996 (156.25%).

4. Discussion

With a mean annual content (1995–1997) ranging from 2.16–3.23% N to 0.29–0.68% P for the above-ground organs, the three floating-leaved species showed much higher nutrient values in Lake Grand-Lieu than the growth limiting levels (1.3 and 0.13% DW, respectively) observed by Gerloff and Krombholz (1966). However these nutrient levels were similar to those recorded in previous studies for N but higher for P (Smart, 1980; Brock et al., 1983b; Malthus et al., 1990). The high values observed in Lake Grand-Lieu show the ability of the plants to accumulate large concentrations of N and P in a nutrient-rich ecosystem.

Little information is available on estimates of the contribution of floating-leaved macrophytes to N and P fluxes at the scale of an aquatic ecosystem. Brock et al. (1983b) studied the seasonal changes in nutrient concentrations of various parts of *N. peltata* with aspects of N and P cycling during each month of the growing period, but no comparison was made with nutrient quantities in the lake. Malthus et al. (1990) showed the importance of dominant macrophytes species including *N. alba* in P dynamics in the Loosdrecht lakes system using species cover data at the time of maximum biomass. In this last paper the P standing stock of the six major emergent and floating-leaved macrophytes represented 15% (45 mg m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$) of the external P inputs whereas macrophytes covered only 1.8% (17.2 ha) of the area. This was lower than in the present study, except in 1995 (6.10%), in which the P standing stock of the plants at the maximum monthly biomass represented between 18.15 (1997) and 28.55% (1996) of the annual (external) P input of the lake. At the extreme, James et al. (1996) found that, together, tissue P release of the submerged macrophyte community of Lake Delavon plus release from sediments resulting from its metabolic activities, in summer, were equivalent to twice the external P loading of the catchment area.

Given the demonstrated ability of macrophytes to use nutrients from sediments via root uptake (e.g. Carignan and Kalff, 1980; Barko and Smart, 1980), it is obvious that nutrient-enriched sediments compensate for annual variations in the external loading into lake systems. For instance, Søndergaard et al. (1993) demonstrated that phosphorus release from sediments mainly occurred from April to October coinciding with biological activity. In the present study, the most critical year was 1996, when small net annual P input occurred and the maximum P standing stock during the plant growing period, alone, exceeded this P input from the catchment area (156.25%). The floating-leaved macrophytes are probably not limited (similar maximum P standing stock compared to 1995 and 1997), even when small annual inputs into the lake occur due to substantial nutrient quantities in sediments. Marion and Brient (1998) have estimated the total P stock in the top 10 cm of sediment to be about 284 tonnes (without the fen), 6–53 times the net annual input to the lake. Such a concentration (12 g m$^{-2}$ in the macrophyte area) exceeds the range cited by Labroue et al.
(1995) for lakes (2–10 g m$^{-2}$). Conversely, N could be a more limiting nutrient than P for the plants according to net annual inputs into Lake Grand-Lieu, although, the mean retention rate was large (55.75%) and ranged in the top of the compilation of Windolf et al. (1993) on retention rates of some shallow Danish lakes. N has a relatively low sediment stock (about 3500 tonnes), that is 2–6 times the net annual input of the lake (Marion and Brient, 1998) but N stocks in the plants only represented 3.35–5.00% of the net N input into the lake.

From a water quality point of view, the role played by rooted macrophytes is ambiguous and needs to be considered within the specific context of each lake system (e.g. Barko and James, 1997; Scheffer, 1998). In some nutrient-enriched shallow systems where the major threat is silting-up related to high productions of organic matter coming from plants, harvesting is an effective way to remove nutrients (e.g. Cooke et al., 1993; Moss et al., 1997; Asaeda et al., 2000). In Lake Grand-Lieu, the present accumulation of organic matter on the bottom of the lake reached 7.6 mm per year in the macrophyte area (Creach and Marion, 1998). But harvesting cannot be used here due to strong conservation constraints and some less destructive management measures have been implemented since few years to improve water quality, such as limitation of macrophyte production through water regime changes and dredging to favour natural sediment exportations. So the present preliminary results constitute a first step in the understanding of the role played by the vast beds of floating-leaved macrophytes in terms of nutrient dynamics, and water quality in Lake Grand-Lieu and interactions with all components of the lake food web need to be studied.
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